

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/881,019	KANURI ET AL.
	Examiner Ian N. Moore	Art Unit 2616

All Participants:

(1) Ian N. Moore.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Brian Ledell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2006

Time: 1:41 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

USC 102 (b),(e)

Claims discussed:

1 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Dobbins, Siddiqui


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Regarding appeal brief argument for claims 1 and 8, examiner disagrees with the applicant; but for claim 13, examiner agrees with the applicant.

Thus, examiner suggests applicant to include allowable subject matter of claim 13 into rejected claims 1 and 8 if he wishes to make this application in condition for allowance.

The applicant agrees. The applicant proposed and agreed amendment are set forth in examiner amendment.